

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/028,778 12/28/2001		Hiroaki Tanaka	GNE463A	4276			
466	7590	08/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
YOUNG &		SON REET 2ND FLOOR	ERDEM, FAZLI				
ARLINGTO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2826				

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			78	TANAKA ET AL.						
			<b>r</b>	Art Unit						
		Fazli Erd	em	2826						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 22-53 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 12-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 2,4,6-11 and 15-21 is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>									
Applicati	ion Papers		•							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>										
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 22-53 allowed.
- 2. Claims 2, 4, 6-11 and 15-21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 12-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino et al. (6,529,251) in view of Wang et al. (6,277,674).

Regarding Claims 1, 3, 5 and 12-14, Hibino et al. disclose a liquid crystal display device and method of manufacturing the same where in Fig. 4, a three layer gate electrode structure with a top layer 15 or TiN, a middle layer 14 of Al and a lower layer 13 of Ti. Hibino et al. fail to disclose the required nitrogen concentration in TiN layer. However, Wang et al. disclose semiconductor fuses, methods of using the same, methods of making the same, and semiconductor devices containing the same where in 5, layer 24 is TiN with nitrogen concentration between 2 to 80%

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required nitrogen concentration in the

TiN layer in Hibino et al. as taught by Wang et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with increased reliability.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE August 20, 2004

Art Unit: 2826

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800